

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA
CIVIL DIVISION

In re:

Laser Spine Institute, LLC ¹	Case No. 2019-CA-2762
CLM Aviation, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2764
LSI HoldCo, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2765
LSI Management Company, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2766
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2767
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cincinnati, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2768
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2769
Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2770
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2771
Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2772
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2773
Medical Care Management Services, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2774
Spine DME Solutions, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2775
Total Spine Care, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2776
Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2777
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2780

Assignors,

Consolidated Case No.
2019-CA-2762

to

Soneet Kapila,

Division L

Assignee.

**ORDER SUSTAINING OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF
LEON WICKIZER AND ANGENETTE WICKIZER**

¹ On April 8, 2019, the Court entered an order administratively consolidating this case with the assignment cases (collectively, the “**Assignment Cases**” or the “**Assignment Estates**”) of the following entities: LSI Management Company, LLC; Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC; CLM Aviation, LLC; Medical Care Management Services, LLC; LSI HoldCo, LLC; Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cincinnati, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC; Total Spine Care, LLC; and Spine DME Solutions, LLC (collectively, the “**Assignors**”).

THESE CASES came on for consideration upon the Objection to Claim of Leon Wickizer And Angenette Wickizer (the “**Objection**”). The Objection seeks to disallow the Wickizer Claim². The Objection was filed on February 19, 2024, and was served by negative notice. No response to the Objection was filed. The Court finds that under the circumstances of these cases, due and sufficient notice of the Objection was provided to parties, and that such notice was adequate and appropriate. Therefore, any requests for other and further notice shall be and hereby are dispensed with and waived, and no other or further notice is necessary. The Court, having considered the Objection, and being fully advised of the record, finds that the Objection should be sustained. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED:

1. The Objection is sustained.
2. The Wickizer Claim is disallowed.

DONE AND ORDERED in Hillsborough County, Florida this ____ day of March, 2024.

Electronically Conformed 3/15/2024
Darren D. Farfante

DARREN FARFANTE
Circuit Court Judge

Copy to: Counsel of record

² Capitalized claims not defined in the Order shall have the meaning set forth in the Objection.