IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

In re:

Laser Spine Institute, LLC ¹	Case No. 2019-CA-2762
CLM Aviation, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2764
LSI HoldCo, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2765
LSI Management Company, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2766
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2767
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cincinnati, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2768
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2769
Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2770
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2771
Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2772
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2773
Medical Care Management Services, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2774
Spine DME Solutions, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2775
Total Spine Care, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2776
Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2777
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2780
Assignors,	Consolidated Case No.
2 ,	2019-CA-2762
to	
Soneet Kapila,	Division L
Concert Empire,	DIVIDIOII L
Assignee.	

OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF LEON WICKIZER AND ANGENETTE WICKIZER

_

¹ On April 8, 2019, the Court entered an order administratively consolidating this case with the assignment cases (collectively, the "Assignment Cases" or the "Assignment Estates") of the following entities: LSI Management Company, LLC; Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC; CLM Aviation, LLC; Medical Care Management Services, LLC; LSI HoldCo, LLC; Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC; Total Spine Care, LLC; and Spine DME Solutions, LLC (collectively, the "Assignors").

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO OBJECT AND REQUEST A HEARING

The Assignee seeks an order disallowing the Wickizer Claims (defined below) filed by Leon Wickizer and Angenette Wickizer. Responses must be filed and served on Assignee, Soneet R. Kapila, KapilaMukamal, LLP, 1000 South Federal Highway, Suite 200, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33616 and Scott Stichter, Stichter Riedel, Blain & Postler, P.A., 110 E. Madison Street, Suite 200, Tampa, Florida 33602 within 21 days from the service of this Objection. If no responses are filed, the Court may grant the relief without further notice. In the event a response is timely filed and served, the Court will hold a hearing to consider any timely filed responses and to consider this Objection. Any such hearing will be separately noticed.

Soneet Kapila, as Assignee for the Assignment Estates, objects to the claim filed by Leon Wickizer and Angenette Wickizer ("Wickizer"), seeking to recover an unsecured claim in the LSI bankruptcy case and, in support thereof, states:

BACKGROUND

- 1. On March 14, 2019, Laser Spine Institute, LLC ("LSI") executed and delivered an assignment for the benefit of creditors to the Assignee. The Assignee filed a Petition with the Court on March 14, 2019, commencing an assignment for the benefit of creditors proceeding pursuant to Chapter 727 of the Florida Statutes (the "LSI Assignment Case").
- 2. On July 11, 2019, Wickizer submitted a proof of claim (the "Wickizer Claim"), a copy of which is attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>. The Wickizer Claim was filed in the amount of \$3,000,000.00. The only documentation attached to the Wickizer Claim are copies of the Complaint and a Certificate of Arbitration filed in Arizona State Court under Case No. CV2018-00362 as well as various medical records.
- 3. The Wickizer Claim cannot be allowed as filed because it is filed in an unliquidated amount. The Assignment Statute (Chapter 727 of the Florida Statutes, 717.101 et seq.) requires

that "Claims shall be in written form entitled "proof of claim," setting forth the name and address of the creditor and the nature and <u>amount</u> of the claim, and executed by the creditor or the creditor's authorized agent." § 727.112(3), Fla. Stat. (Emphasis added).

4. The Assignee requests that the Wickizer Claim be disallowed.

WHEREFORE, the Assignee requests that the Court (i) enter an order substantially in the form attached as **Exhibit B** disallowing the Wickizer Claim, and (ii) grant such further relief to which he is entitled.

/s/ Scott A. Stichter

Scott A. Stichter (Florida Bar No. 0710679) Stichter, Riedel, Blain & Postler, P.A. 110 E. Madison Street, Ste. 200 Tampa, Florida 33602-4718 Telephone: (813) 229-0144

Facsimile: (813) 229-0144
Facsimile: (813) 229-1811
Email: sstichter@srbp.com

sstichter.ecf@srbp.com
Counsel for Soneet Kapila, Assignee

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the *Objection to Claim of Leon Wickizer and Angenette Wickizer* has been furnished on February 20, 2024, via the Court's electronic filing portal to all counsel of record to and via U.S. Mail to:

Leon Wickizer and Angenette Wickizer 4700 W. White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, AZ 85929

Eduardo H. Coronado, Esquire Coronado Law Firm, P.L.L.C. 4700 West White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, AZ 85929

/s/ Scott A. Stichter

Scott Stichter

Exhibit "A"

- PLEASE SPECIFY THE ASSIGNOR AGAINST WHICH YOU ASSERT A CLAIM: LOGGE Care institute, LUC. (IF YOU HAVE A CLAIM AGAINST MORE THAN ONE ASSIGNOR, YOU MUST FILE A SEPARATE CLAIM AGAINST EACH ASSIGNOR). ...
- E-MAIL ADDRESS: educado cerenado @ frontier.com y paralegal.coronadolou. CREDITOR NAME (Your game): Lear Wickizer and Pingenette Wickizer ADDRESS: 4700 W. Wife Houndan Blod. CITY, STATE, ZIP: Lakesidl, AZ 85999 TELEPHONE NUMBER: (928) 551-4529 ADDRESS:

Check box if address on claim differs from address to which this notice was sent: []

Please be sure to notify us if you have a change of address.

BASIS FOR CLAIM:		**
[] Goods Sold	[] Wages, Salaries and Compensations	[] Secured Creditor
[] Services Performed	[] Taxes	
[] Money Loaned	[] Customer Deposit,	
[] Shareholder	Stother. Complaint Medical Malp	al Malpractice
DATE DEBT WAS INCURRED:	On or a book 5/16/10-	4/14/16
AMOUNT OF CLAIM:	\$ 3,000,000,00	•

Does Claim amend, replace, or supplement a prior claim? If so, please state the date and amount of the prior claim(s):

- 7. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: Attach copies of supporting documents, such as promissory notes, purchase order, invoices, itemized statement of running accounts, court judgments, or evidence of security interests. If the documents are not available, explain. If the documents are voluminous, attach a summary.
- SIGNATURE: Sign and print name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this 8. claim:

the public record related to the Assignment Cases. As a result, the Assignee and his professionals shall be permitted, and may be directed by the Court, to include such documentation, including to the extent provided, protected health information, in any subsequent pleading, notice, document, list, or other public disclosure made in connection with the Assignment Cases. Such inclusion by the Assignme and his professionals shall not constitute a "wrongful disclosure" under HIPAA, the Florida Information Protection Act of 2014, or any regulations promulgated thereunder. As required by law, the proof of claim and any supporting documentation you submit shall become a part of

DATED:

BY: The Confidence of Claiming or Representative

K TO M K W CK 28 Print Name and Title Here Angeneth in Wichtites

For Assignee's Use Only:

Claim Number: Date: CIVIL COVER SHEET

WICKIZER

DOE.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT

PLAINTIFF'S NAME (S) (List All):

LEON WICKIZER and ANGENETTE

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY <u>Eduardo H. Coronado</u> ATTORNEY NO <u>022397</u>

	COLL
FOR COUR	T USE ONLY
DATE FILED:	SOPY OF OR
CASE NO: CU 2	018003000-1
JUDGE:	NAVAJO COUNTY SUI DEANNE M. ROM
	S (ES) – Include only if
INTIFF'S ADDRESS epresented by an atto	S (ES) – Include only if
	S (ES) – Include only if
	S (ES) – Include only if orney.
epresented by an atto	S (ES) – Include only if orney.

DEFENDANT'S NAME (S) (List All)
DR. TIMOTHY LUKE M.D., DR. ERIKA
VATSAR-FAIL, MD, NICKOLAS
WILLARD CRNA, LASER SPINE
INSTITUTE, LLC, a Foreign Entity,
JOHN DOE NURSE, JANE DOE NURSE,
JOHN DOE, M.D., JANE DOE M.D.,
JOHN DOE, D.O., JANE DOE D.O.,
JANE DOE P.A.C., JON DOE P.A.C.
JANE DOE TECHNICIAN, JON DOE
TECHNICIAN, XYZ CORPORATION,
ABC PARTNERSHIP, JOHN DOE, JANE

EMERGENCY ORDER SOUGHT: N/A Temporary restraining order Provisional remedy Other (specify)

\$ 1,000,000.00

NATURE OF ACTION

Punitive

Attorney fees

Place an "x" next to the case type, which describes the nature of the case you are filing. If more than one, circle the predominant one.

CONTRACTS (230)
Account (open or stated)
Promissory note
Foreclosure
Other sales; warranty (specify)
EMINENT DOMAIN (240)
Condemnation
Vehicle forfeiture
Private way of necessity
UNCLASSIFIED CIVIL Forcible Detainer Change of name Transcript of judgment Foreign judgment Declaratory judgment Habeus Corpus Quiet title Harassment Tax appeal Administrative review Other Uniform Commercial Code
(specify)

Does not meet the criteria for a complex action



AUG - 8 2018

NAVAJO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DEANNE M. ROMO, CLERK

Eduardo H. Coronado, SBN 022397 CORONADO LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C. 4700 West White Mountain Boulevard Lakeside, AZ 85929 (928) 532-4529 Telephone (928) 532-0753 Fax eduardocoronado@frontier.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NAVAJO

LEON WICKIZER and ANGENETTE WICKIZER

Plaintiffs,

DR. TIMOTHY LUKE M.D., DR. ERIKA VATSAR-FAIL, M.D., NICKOLAS WILLARD CRNA, LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC, a Foreign Entity, JOHN DOE NURSE, JANE DOE NURSE, JOHN DOE, M.D., JANE DOE M.D., JOHN DOE, D.O., JANE DOE D.O., JANE DOE P.A.C., JON DOE P.A.C. JANE DOE TECHNICIAN, JON DOE TECHNICIAN, XYZ CORPORATION, ABC PARTNERSHIP, JOHN DOE, JANE DOE,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV2018-- 0 0 502

COMPLAINT MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

Plaintiffs, Leon Wickizer and Angenette Wickizer (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or

"Plaintiffs"), allege as follows:

PARTIES

- Plaintiffs are residents of Washington State.
- 2. The operative facts of this action took place in Maricopa County, Arizona.

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26

27 28

25

26

27

28

- Defendant Laser Spine Institute, of Laser Spine Institute, LLC, is a foreign entity located at 8888 E. Raintree Dr. Suite 170, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.
- Defendants and each of them began treatment of Plaintiff on or about August 12th, of 2016 through on or about September 14th, 2016.
- Defendants and each of them owed a duty of care to Plaintiff to treat Plaintiff
 within an acceptable standard of medical care within the medical community.
- The acceptable standard of care in the medical community does not include performing spinal surgery in a negligent manner.
- Defendants and each of them breached this duty of care to Plaintiff by not treating Plaintiff correctly.
- Defendants and each of them breached this duty of care to Plaintiff by not monitoring and acting to protect Plaintiff from further harm and injury.
- Defendant Dr. Timothy Luke, M.D. is licensed to practice in Arizona and regularly engages in the practice of medicine in Arizona.
- 10. Defendant Dr. Timothy Luke, M.D. was acting as an agent and employee of Laser Spine Institute during his treatment of Plaintiff.
- 11. Defendant Dr. Erika Vatsar-Fail, M.D.is licensed to practice in Arizona and regularly engages in the practice of medicine in Arizona.
- 12. Defendant Dr. Erika Vatsar-Fail, M.D. was acting as an agent and employee of Laser Spine Institute during his treatment of Plaintiff.
- 13. Defendant Nickolas Willard, CRNA is licensed to practice in Arizona and regularly engages in the practice of medicine in Arizona.

27

28

COUNT 1

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS

- 14. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 15. Defendants owed a duty of care to Plaintiff to properly perform surgery and follow up care.
- 16. Because of Defendants' breach of their duty of care, Plaintiffs have suffered a decreased quality of life.
- 17. Because of Defendants' breach of their duty of care, Plaintiff, Leon Wickizer, has suffered a depravation of quality time with Plaintiff, Angenette Wickizer, his wife.
- 18. Because of Defendants' breach of their duty of care, Plaintiff has not been able to perform various routine aspects of his life that he was able to previously.
- 19. Because of Defendants' breach of their duty of care, Plaintiff, Leon Wickizer has not be able to assist Plaintiff, Angenette Wickizer, in upkeep of their home, as he used to be able to do.
- 20. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:
 - A. For damages in an amount to be proven at trial including but not limited to lost wages, future lost wages, medical expenses, future medical expenses, loss of consortium, loss of intangibles, and loss of enjoyment of life;
 - B. For damage for pain and suffering.
 - For interest on any judgment due and owing to Plaintiff at the rate provided under Arizona law until paid in full;
 - D. That Plaintiff recover for such other further relief as the Court deems be just and proper.

27

28

COUNT 2

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE VIA AGENCY (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR)

- 21. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. At the time of the incident all persons named in this pleading were acting in their capacity as agents for Laser Spine Institute.
- 23. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:
 - A. For damages in an amount to be proven at trial including but not limited to lost wages, future lost wages, medical expenses, future medical expenses, loss of consortium, loss of intangibles, and loss of enjoyment of life;
 - B. For damage for pain and suffering.
 - For interest on any judgment due and owing to Plaintiff at the rate provided under Arizona law until paid in full;
 - D. That Plaintiff recover for such other further relief as the Court deems be just and proper.

DATED this 2 day of August, 2018.

CORONADO LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

Eduardo H. Coronado, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



AUG - 8 2018

NAVAJO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DEANNE M. ROMO, CLERK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NAVAJO

LEON WICKIZER and ANGENETTE

DR. TIMOTHY LUKE M.D., DR. ERIKA VATSAR-FAIL, MD, NICKOLAS WILLARD CRNA, LASER SPINE INSTITUTE, LLC, a Foreign Entity. JOHN DOE NURSE, JANE DOE NURSE, JOHN DOE, M.D., JANE DOE M.D., JOHN DOE, D.O., JANE DOE D.O., JANE DOE P.A.C., JON DOE P.A.C. JANE DOE TECHNICIAN, JON DOE TECHNICIAN, XYZ CORPORATION, ABC PARTNERSHIP, JOHN DOE, JANE DOE.

Defendants.

Case No. CV2018-- 003402

CERTIFICATE OF ARBITRATION

The undersigned certifies that the largest award sought by the complainant including punitive damages, but excluding interest, attorneys' fees and costs DO exceed the limits set by the rules for compulsory arbitration. Therefore, this case IS NOT subject to Uniform Rules of Procedure for Arbitration.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8 day of August, 2018.

CORONADO LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

Eduardo H. Coronado, Esq

Attorney for Plaintiff

Coronado Law Office 4700 W White Mtn Blvd Lakeside AZ 85929

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF NAVAJO



)	CASE #: CV2018-0362
)	
)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY
)	A PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER
)	
)	
)	
	serve process within the State of Arizona and
urt, did rece	eive the following documents:

SUMMONS; COMPLAINT; CERTIFICATE OF ARBITRATION

In each instance I personally served a true copy of each document listed above on those named below in the manner, and at the time and place shown below.

Service was made upon Laser Spine Institute LLC c/o CT Corp., by leaving documents with Krikor Kalajian, who was authorized to accept, at 3800 N Central #460, Phoenix AZ, on June 7, 2019 at 11:00a.m.

DATED: 6-7-19

RICK SCHATTENBERG

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.

FEE: \$ 60.00

DIRECT ACCESS LEGAL SERVICES 550 W. BASELINE RD., SUITE 102, #200 MESA, AZ 85210/(480)464-8484

Exhibit "B"

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

In re:

Laser Spine Institute, LLC ¹	Case No. 2019-CA-2762
CLM Aviation, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2764
LSI HoldCo, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2765
LSI Management Company, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2766
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2767
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cincinnati, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2768
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2769
Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2770
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2771
Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2772
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2773
Medical Care Management Services, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2774
Spine DME Solutions, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2775
Total Spine Care, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2776
Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2777
Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC	Case No. 2019-CA-2780
Assignors,	Consolidated Case No.
,	2019-CA-2762
to	
Soneet Kapila,	Division L
Solitor Emplies,	Division D
Assignee.	

ORDER SUSTAINING OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF LEON WICKIZER AND ANGENETTE WICKIZER

_

¹ On April 8, 2019, the Court entered an order administratively consolidating this case with the assignment cases (collectively, the "Assignment Cases" or the "Assignment Estates") of the following entities: LSI Management Company, LLC; Laser Spine Institute Consulting, LLC; CLM Aviation, LLC; Medical Care Management Services, LLC; LSI HoldCo, LLC; Laser Spine Surgical Center, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Arizona, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of St. Louis, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Pennsylvania, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Oklahoma, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Warwick, LLC; Laser Spine Surgery Center of Cleveland, LLC; Total Spine Care, LLC; and Spine DME Solutions, LLC (collectively, the "Assignors").

THESE CASES came on for hearing on _______ upon the Objection to Claim of Leon Wickizer And Angenette Wickizer (the "Objection"). The Objection seeks to disallow the Wickizer Claim². The Court, having considered the Objection, and being fully advised of the record, finds that the Objection should be sustained. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED:

1. The Objection is sustained.

2. The Wickizer Claim is disallowed.

DONE AND ORDERED in Hillsborough County, Florida this _____ day of ______, 2024.

Circuit Court Judge

Copy to: Counsel of record

_

² Capitalized claims not defined in the Order shall have the meaning set forth in the Objection.